

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,097	04/19/2004	Richard N. Codos	LPPT-13B2	1465
26875	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			TRAN, LY T	
	2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45202		2853	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		And a			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/827,097	CODOS, RICHARD N.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ly T TRAN	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	is action is non-final.	·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. section is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/05) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/04.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/827,097

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-10 and 12-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 10-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of claims of the pending application are the same as the scope of the claim of the U.S. Patent 6,755,518.

With respect to claim 1 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 1.

With respect to claim 2 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 2.

With respect to claim 3 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,097

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claim 4 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 4.

With respect to claim 5 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 5.

With respect to claim 6 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 7.

With respect to claim 7 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 5.

With respect to claim 8 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 8.

With respect to claim 9 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 10.

With respect to claim 10 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 11.

With respect to claim 12 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 12.

With respect to claim 13 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 13.

With respect to claim 14 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 14.

With respect to claim 15 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,097

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claim 16 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 16.

With respect to claim 17 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 17.

With respect to claim 18 of pending application, the Patent 6,755,518 discloses all the limitation in claim 18.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim10 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518 in view of Wen et al. (USPN 6,092,890).

Claims of U.S. Patent 6,755,518 fails to teach the controller being operable to move the carriage and to operate the UV head.

Wen et al. teaches the controller being operable to move the carriage and to operate the UV head (Fig.1: element 20, 30, 50). It's well known in the art to have a controller to move the carriage and to operate the UV.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made have a controller to move the carriage and to operate the UV as taught by Wen et al. The motivation of doing so is in order to have a simple device and saving part.

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LT It

January 4, 2005

MANISH SHAH Primoury Examiner

Page 5